arXiv:1203.6294v1 [math.AG] 28 Mar 2012

A CONSTRUCTIVE PROOF OF WEIL'S GALOIS DESCENT THEOREM

RUBÉN A. HIDALGO AND SEBASTIAN REYES

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$ be a finite Galois extension and let X be an algebraic variety defined over $\mathcal{L}$. Weil's Galois descent theorem provides sufficient conditions for X to be definable over $\mathcal{K}$, that is, the existence of a Galois decent datum for X asserts the existence of an algebraic variety Y, defined over $\mathcal{K}$, and a birational isomorphism $R : X \to Y$, defined ver $\mathcal{L}$. Unfortunately, Weil's original proof does not provides a method to compute R nor Y explicitly. The aim of this paper is to provide a constructive proof, that is, once explicit equations are given for X and a Galois descent datum for X, one produces such an explicit birational isomorphism R.

1. Weil's Galois Descent Theorem

Let X be some (projective or affine) algebraic variety defined over an algebraically closed field $\mathcal{C}$, that is, the locus of common zeroes of a collection of polynomials with coefficients in $\mathcal{C}$. By Hilbert's finiteness theorem, we may assume such a collection of polynomials to be finite. If $\mathcal{U}$ is a subfield of $\mathcal{C}$ and we may choose the coefficients of the previous polynomials in $\mathcal{U}$, then we say that X is defined over $\mathcal{U}$. Let us now assume that $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L} < \mathcal{C}$, with $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$ a finite Galois extension, $\mathcal{C}$ an algebraic closure of $\mathcal{L}$ and that X is defined over $\mathcal{L}$. One says that X is definable over $\mathcal{K}$, with respect to the Galois extension $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$, if there exists a birational isomorphism $R : X \to Y$, defined over $\mathcal{L}$, where Y is a an algebraic variety defined over $\mathcal{K}$. A sufficient condition for this to hold is given by Weil's Galois descent theorem [15], Before to recall such a theorem, we need some definitions.

Let $\widehat{\Gamma} = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{K})$ be the Galois group of the Galois extension $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{C}$ and let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K})$ be the Galois group of the finite Galois extension $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$. By Galois theory, there is a natural epimorphism $\rho : \widehat{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ defined by restriction. Also, each $\eta \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ induces natural bijections

$$\widehat{\eta} : \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathcal{C}} : [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \mapsto [\eta(x_0) : \cdots : \eta(x_n)]$$

and

$$\widehat{\eta} : \mathcal{C}^n \to \mathcal{C}^n : (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \mapsto (\eta(y_1), \ldots, \eta(y_n)).$$

If $P \in \mathcal{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_m]$, and $\eta \in \widehat{\Gamma}$, then we set $P^\eta = \widehat{\eta} \circ P \circ \widehat{\eta}^{-1}$, where $\widehat{\eta}$ at the right acts on $\mathcal{C}^m$ and the one at the left acts on $\mathcal{C}$ (see Diagram (1)). Another way to see P^η is to apply η to the coefficients of P.

(1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}^m \arrow[r, "P"] \arrow[d, "\widehat{\eta}"] & \mathcal{C} \arrow[d, "\widehat{\eta}"] \\
\mathcal{C}^m \arrow[r, "P^\eta"] & \mathcal{C}
\end{tikzcd}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E99, 14A10, 12F10.

Key words and phrases. Algebraic varieties, Galois extensions, Fields of definition.

Partially supported by Projects Fondecyt 1110001 and UTFSM 12.11.01.

Notice that if $P \in \mathcal{L}[z_1,\ldots,z_m]$ and $\eta \in \widehat{\Gamma}$, then $P^{\eta} \in \mathcal{L}[z_1,\ldots,z_m]$. Moreover, if $\sigma \in \Gamma$, then the polynomial P^{σ} obtained from applying σ to the coefficient of P is the same as P^{η} for any $\eta \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ so that $\rho(\eta) = \sigma$. So, if X is defined by the polynomials $P_1,\ldots,P_r \in \mathcal{L}[z_1,\ldots,z_n]$ and if $\sigma \in \Gamma$ and $\eta_1,\eta_2 \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ are so that $\rho(\eta_1) = \rho(\eta_2) = \sigma$, then, by the previous, $\widehat{\eta_1}(X) = \widehat{\eta_2}(X)$; this algebraic variety, denoted by the symbol X^{σ}, is defined by the polynomials $P_1^{\sigma},\ldots,P_r^{\sigma}$. It may happen that X^{σ} and X are not birationally equivalent.

A *Galois descent datum* for X, with respect to the Galois extension $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$, is a collection $\{f_{\sigma} : X \to X^{\sigma}\}_{\sigma\in\Gamma}$ of birational isomorphisms, defined over $\mathcal{L}$, so that, for every pair $\sigma_1,\sigma_2 \in \Gamma$ it holds that $f_{\sigma_1\sigma_2} = f_{\sigma_2}^{\sigma_1} \circ f_{\sigma_1}$.

Let us remark that even if X and X^{σ} are birationally equivalent over $\mathcal{L}$, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$, it may happen that no Galois descent datum for X exists. First examples, in the case $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{C}$, were provided by Shimura [14] and Earle [3, 4] in the case that X is a hyperelliptic curve and by the first author [5] in the non-hyperelliptic situation.

Now, if X is definable over $\mathcal{K}$, with respect to the Galois extension $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$, then there is a birational isomorphism $R : X \to Y$, defined over $\mathcal{L}$, where Y is defined over $\mathcal{K}$. For every $\sigma \in \Gamma$ we have a birational isomorphism $R^{\sigma} : X^{\sigma} \to Y$ defined over $\mathcal{L}$ and, in particular, $f_{\sigma} = (R^{\sigma})^{-1} \circ R : X \to X^{\sigma}$ is a birational isomorphism defined over $\mathcal{L}$. It can be easily seen that $\{f_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma\in\Gamma}$ defines a Weil's datum for X, with respect to the extension $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$. This asserts that a necessary condition for X to be definable over $\mathcal{K}$ is for X to admit a Galois descent datum with respect to the Galois extension $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$. Weil's Galois descend theorem [15] states the converse direction of the above, that is, the existence of a Galois descent datum for X, with respect to the extension $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$, is sufficient for X to be definable over $\mathcal{K}$.

Theorem 1 (Weil's Galois descent theorem)**.** *Let $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$ be a Galois extension of finite degree, let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K})$ and let C be an algebraic closure of $\mathcal{L}$. Let X be a (projective or affine) algebraic variety over C which is defined over $\mathcal{L}$. Then,*

1. *If X admits a Galois descent datum, with respect to the Galois extension $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$, say $\{f_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma\in\Gamma}$, then there exists an algebraic variety Y defined over $\mathcal{K}$ and there exists a birational isomorphism $R : X \to Y$ so that $R = R^{\sigma} \circ f_{\sigma}$, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$.*
2. *If, moreover, all the isomorphisms f_{σ} are biregular, then R can be chosen to be biregular.*
3. *If there are birational isomorphisms $R : X \to Y$ and $\widehat{R} : X \to \widehat{Y}$, both defined over $\mathcal{L}$, where Y and $\widehat{Y}$ are defined over $\mathcal{K}$ and such that $R = R^{\sigma} \circ f_{\sigma}$ and $\widehat{R} = \widehat{R}^{\sigma} \circ f_{\sigma}$, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$, then there exists a birational isomorphism $J : Y \to \widehat{Y}$, defined over $\mathcal{K}$, so that $\widehat{R} = J \circ R$.*

The uniqueness part in Weil's Galois descent theorem is easy to see and we recall the arguments from [15]. Set $J = \widehat{R} \circ R^{-1} : Y \to \widehat{Y}$, a birational isomorphism defined over $\mathcal{L}$. If $\sigma \in \Gamma$, then

$$J^{\sigma} = \widehat{R}^{\sigma} \circ (R^{-1})^{\sigma} = \widehat{R}^{\sigma} \circ (R^{\sigma})^{-1} = (\widehat{R} \circ f_{\sigma}^{-1}) \circ (R \circ f_{\sigma}^{-1})^{-1} = \widehat{R} \circ R^{-1} = J,$$

so $J : Y \to \widehat{Y}$ is defined over $\mathcal{K}$.

The proof of Theorem 1 provided in [15] is non-constructive in the sense that it only provides the existence of an algebraic variety Y defined over $\mathcal{K}$ and a birational isomorphism $R : X \to Y$ defined over $\mathcal{L}$, but it does not provide a method (algorithm) to construct them explicitly once we have given both explicit equations for X and an explicit Galois descent datum for X. Also, even the given proof in [15] is short, it is somehow hard to follow. The aim of this paper is to provide a simple and constructive proof of Theorem 1. Our proof is longer than the one provided in [15], but it provides an explicit method to compute (with the help of MAGMA [1]) the isomorphism R and/or Y in an explicit manner once equations for X and a Galois descent datum are guiven. We provide an explicit example to explain the method by using a classical Humbert curve [2]; that is a closed Riemann surface of genus five admitting $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$ as group of conformal automorphisms. The curve is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ and admits an anticonformal involution, so it is known to be definable over $\mathbb{Q}$. The method describe equations over $\mathbb{Q}$ for a suitable birational curve. Another use of the constructive proof is provided in [6] in the search of equations of Fricke-Macbeath's curve over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Our constructive proof of Theorem 1 may be easily adapted to provide constructive proof for other versions of Weil's Galois descent theorem. We just discuss two versions; one for morphisms and the other for group of automorphisms. We still assuming that X is an algebraic variety defined over $\mathcal{L}$ as before.

Version of Weil's Galois descent theorem for the case of morphisms. Let us assume that we also have an algebraic variety Z, defined over $\mathcal{K}$ and a morphism $\phi : X \to Z$ defined over $\mathcal{F}$, where as before X is defined on $\mathcal{F}$. For each $\sigma \in \Gamma$ we have a new morphism $\phi^{\sigma} : X^{\sigma} \to Z$. A *Galois descent datum* for the morphism $\phi : X \to Z$, with respect to the Galois extension $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{F}$, is a collection $\{f_{\sigma} : X \to X^{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Gamma}$ of birational isomorphisms, defined over $\mathcal{F}$, so that (i) $\phi = \phi^{\sigma} \circ f_{\sigma}$, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$, and (ii) for any pair $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Gamma$ it holds the equality $f_{\sigma_1\sigma_2} = f_{\sigma_2}^{\sigma_1} \circ f_{\sigma_1}$.

Theorem 2 (Weil's Galois descent theorem for morphisms)**.** *Let $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$ be a Galois extension of finite degree, let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K})$ and let C be an algebraic closure of $\mathcal{L}$. Let X and Z be (projective or affine) algebraic varieties over C so that X is defined over $\mathcal{L}$ and Z is defined over $\mathcal{K}$, and let $\phi : X \to Z$ be a morphism defined over $\mathcal{L}$. Then, if there is a Galois descent datum for the morphism $\phi : X \to Z$, with respect to the Galois extension $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$, say $\{f_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Gamma}$, then there exists an algebraic variety Y and a morphism $\eta : Y \to Z$, both defined over $\mathcal{K}$, and there exists a birational isomorphism $R : X \to Y$, defined over $\mathcal{L}$, so that $\eta \circ R = \phi$ and $R = R^{\sigma} \circ f_{\sigma}$, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$.*

In Remark 12 we explain how to adapt the constructive proof of Theorem 1 to Theorem 3.

Version of Weil's Galois descent theorem for the case of group of automorphisms. Let us assume that G is a finite group of birational automorphisms of X, where each element of G is defined over $\mathcal{L}$. For each $\sigma \in \Gamma$ we have that G^{σ} is a group of birational automorphisms of X^{σ}. A *Galois descent datum* for the pair (X, G), with respect to the Galois extension $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{F}$, is a collection $\{f_{\sigma} : X \to X^{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Gamma}$ of birational isomorphisms, defined over $\mathcal{F}$, so that (i) $f_{\sigma} G f_{\sigma}^{-1} = G^{\sigma}$, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$ and, (ii) for any pair $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Gamma$, it holds the equality $f_{\sigma_1\sigma_2} = f_{\sigma_2}^{\sigma_1} \circ f_{\sigma_1}$.

Theorem 3 (Weil's Galois descent theorem for morphisms)**.** *Let $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$ be a Galois extension of finite degree, let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K})$ and let C be an algebraic closure of $\mathcal{L}$. Let X be (projective or affine) algebraic varietiy over C defined over $\mathcal{L}$. Let G be a finite group of birational automorphisms of X, where each element of G is defined over $\mathcal{L}$. Then, if there is a Galois descent datum for the pair (X, G), with respect to the Galois extension $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$, say $\{f_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Gamma}$, then there exists an algebraic variety Y, defined over $\mathcal{K}$ and a group H of birational automorphisms of Y defined over $\mathcal{L}$, so that $H^{\sigma} = H$ for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$, and there exists a birational isomorphism $R : X \to Y$, defined over $\mathcal{L}$, so that $H = RGR^{-1}$ and $R = R^{\sigma} \circ f_{\sigma}$, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$.*

In this case, the birational isomorphism constructed as in the proof of Theorem 1 works.

2. Applications to complex algebraic varieties

Weil's Galois descent theorem has been used in the study of complex algebraic varieties and the study of their fields of moduli; in particular, in the case of Belyi curves and dessin d'enfants.

Let $\mathcal{K} < \mathbb{C}$ be a field extension, where $\mathbb{C}$ denotes the field of complex numbers, and let $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathcal{K}$ in $\mathcal{C}$. It may happen that $\overline{\mathcal{K}} \neq \mathcal{C}$, for instance $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Q}$ the field of rational numbers.

If $\mathcal{M}$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ we denote by $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{M})$ the group of field automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}$ acting as the identity over $\mathcal{M}$. Let us observe that as we are working in characteristic zero and $\mathbb{C}$ is algebraically closed, then $\mathrm{Fix}(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{M})) = \mathcal{M}$. We set $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{K})$.

Let X be an affine or projective algebraic variety defined over a $\mathbb{C}$. We say that X admits a Galois descent datum (with respect to the extension $\mathcal{K} < \mathbb{C}$) if, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$ there is a birational isomorphism $f_\sigma : X \to X^\sigma$, defined over $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$, so that, for every pair $\eta, \tau \in \Gamma$, it holds that $f_{\eta\tau} = f_\tau^\eta \circ f_\eta$.

Now, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$, the restriction of σ to $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ produces an element of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathcal{K}}/\mathcal{K})$. As X is defined by a finite number of polynomials with coefficients in $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$, it holds that there is a finite Galois extension $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{N}$, where $\mathcal{N} < \mathcal{L}$, so that X is defined over $\mathcal{N}$. Also, there are only a finite number of algebraic varieties of the form X^σ which are birationally equivalent to X. In this way, Weil's Galois descent theorem asserts the following.

Corollary 4. *Let $\mathcal{K} < \mathbb{C}$ be a field extension, let $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathcal{K}$ in $\mathbb{C}$, let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{K})$ and let X be a (projective or affine) algebraic variety defined over $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$.*

1. *X admits a Galois descent datum (with respect to the extension $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$), say $\{f_\sigma\}_{\sigma\in\Gamma}$, if and only if there is an algebraic variety Y defined over $\mathcal{K}$ and a birational isomorphism $R : X \to Y$, defined over $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$, so that $R = R^\sigma \circ f_\sigma$, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$.*
2. *If, moreover, all the isomorphisms f_σ are biregular, then R can be chosen to be biregular.*
3. *If there is another birational isomorphism $\widehat{R} : X \to \widehat{Y}$, where $\widehat{Y}$ is defined over $\mathcal{K}$ and such that $\widehat{R} = \widehat{R}^\sigma \circ f_\sigma$, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$, then there exists a birational isomorphism $J : Y \to \widehat{Y}$, defined over $\mathcal{K}$, so that $\widehat{R} = J \circ R$.*

A similar adaptation of Theorem 3 also holds.

3. Auxiliary basic lemmata

In this section we recall some basic facts we will need in the constructive proof of Weil's Galois descent theorem. These results are basic Galois theory but we recall them in here as matter of completeness. In the rest of this section, $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$ will denote a finite Galois extension, $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K})$ and $\mathcal{C}$ will be a fixed algebraic closure of $\mathcal{L}$. Let us still calling $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{K}) \to \Gamma$ the epimorphism defined by restrictions in the Introduction.

3.1. Let us start with the following fact about the linearly independency of automorphisms of Galois extensions which can be found in the book of Hungenford [9].

Lemma 5 ([9]). *Let $\Gamma = \{\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_m\}$, where σ_1 is the identity, and let $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_m\}$ a basis of $\mathcal{L}$ as $\mathcal{K}$-vector space. Then the matrix*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & \cdots & e_m \\ \sigma_2(e_1) & \sigma_2(e_2) & \cdots & \sigma_2(e_m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_m(e_1) & \sigma_m(e_2) & \cdots & \sigma_m(e_m) \end{bmatrix}$$

has non-zero determinant.

3.2. The trace map $\mathrm{Tr} : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{K} : a \mapsto \sum_{\sigma\in\Gamma} \sigma(a)$ extends naturally to polynomial rings $\mathrm{Tr} : \mathcal{L}[x_1, ..., x_n] \to \mathcal{K}[x_1, ..., x_n] : P \mapsto \sum_{\sigma\in\Gamma} P^\sigma$.

A consequence of Lemma 5 is the following fact about invariant ideals.

Lemma 6. *Let $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_m\}$ be a basis of the $\mathcal{K}$-vector space $\mathcal{L}$.*

1. *If $P \in \mathcal{L}[x_1, ..., x_n]$, then $P \in \mathrm{Span}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathrm{Tr}(e_1P), ..., \mathrm{Tr}(e_mP))$.*
2. *If $I < \mathcal{L}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ is an ideal so that $\forall\sigma \in \Gamma$ and $\forall P \in I$ it holds that $P^\sigma \in I$, then I can be generated (as an ideal) by polynomials in $I \cap \mathcal{K}[x_1, ..., x_n]$.*

Proof. Let us assume $\Gamma_M = \{\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_m\}$, where σ_1 is the identity. If $P \in \mathcal{L}[x_1, ..., x_n]$, then let us consider the following polynomials

$$Q_1 = \mathrm{Tr}(e_1P), Q_2 = \mathrm{Tr}(e_2P), ..., Q_m = \mathrm{Tr}(e_mP) \in \mathcal{K}[x_1, ..., x_n].$$

Now, Lemma 5 asserts that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & \cdots & e_m \\ \sigma_2(e_1) & \sigma_2(e_2) & \cdots & \sigma_2(e_m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_m(e_1) & \sigma_m(e_2) & \cdots & \sigma_m(e_m) \end{bmatrix}$$

is invertible. In this way, the linear transformation $A : \mathcal{L}^m \to \mathcal{L}^m$ (where $\mathcal{L}^n$ is thought as the vector space of columns of length m and coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$) is invertible. This, in particular, ensures the existence of values $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_m \in \mathcal{L}$ so that $A\lambda = E$, where $\lambda = {}^t[\lambda_1\ \lambda_2\ \cdots\ \lambda_m]$ y $E = {}^t[1\ 0\ \cdots\ 0]$. Then, $P = \lambda_1Q_1 + \lambda_2Q_2 + \cdots + \lambda_mQ_m$ and we have proved 1.

Let us now assume that $P \in I$ and that $\forall\sigma \in \Gamma$ it holds that $P^\sigma \in I$. It follows that $Q_1, ..., Q_m \in I$. Moreover, by the construction, for each $\tau \in \Gamma_M$ it holds that $Q_1^\tau = Q_1$,..., $Q_m^\tau = Q_m$, that is, $Q_1, ..., Q_m \in \mathcal{K}[x_1, ..., x_n]$. In this way, $Q_1, ..., Q_m \in I \cap \mathcal{K}[x_1, ..., x_n]$. This, together with 1., provides 2.

□

For instance, if $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{C}$, $e_1 = 1$ and $e_2 = i$, then $P = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(P) - \frac{i}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(iP)$.

3.3. If Y is some projective algebraic variety defined over $\mathcal{L}$, then we may consider an affine model X of it by assuming some of the projective coordinates equal to 1. Clearly, Y is defined over the subfield $\mathcal{K}$ if and only if X does. In particular, we just may consider affine algebraic varieties in what follows.

Lemma 7. *Let $X \subset \mathcal{C}^n$ be an affine algebraic variety defined over $\mathcal{L}$. If for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$ it holds that $X^{\sigma} = X$, then X is defined over $\mathcal{K}$. More precisely, if X is defined by polynomials $P_1, ..., P_s \in \mathcal{L}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ and $\{e_1, ..., e_m\}$ is a basis of the $\mathcal{K}$-vector space $\mathcal{L}$, then X is also defined by the polynomials $\mathrm{Tr}(e_j P_i) \in \mathcal{K}[x_1, ..., x_n]$, where $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$ and $j \in \{1, ..., m\}$.*

Proof. Let $I < \mathcal{C}[x_0, ..., x_n]$ be the ideal of X. As X is defined over $\mathcal{L}$, then I is generated by a finite collection of polynomials with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$. Let $P \in I \cap \mathcal{L}[x_1, ..., x_n]$. Let $\sigma \in \Gamma$ and let $\eta \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{K})$ be so that $\rho(\eta) = \sigma$. Then, for $(b_1, ..., b_n) \in X$, it holds that

$$0 = \sigma(P(b_1, ..., b_n)) = \eta(P(b_1, ..., b_n)) = P^{\eta}(\eta(b_1), ..., \eta(b_n)) = P^{\sigma} \circ \widehat{\eta}(b_1, ..., b_n).$$

As $X^{\sigma} = \widehat{\eta}(X)$ and we are assuming $X^{\sigma} = X$, then $\widehat{\eta} : X \to X$ is a bijection. So the above asserts that $P^{\sigma}(c_1, ..., c_n) = 0$ for each $(c_1, ..., c_n) \in X$. This ensures that $P^{\eta} \in I$, so Lemma 6 asserts the result. □

3.4. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over a field $\mathcal{K}$, say of dimension $n \geq 1$. Let x_1,..., x_n be a basis of V. The symmetric algebra of V, say $\mathcal{K}[V]$, can be identified with the free unitary associative algebra generated by x_1,..., x_n over $\mathcal{K}$, that is, with the algebra of polynomials with variables x_1,..., x_n and coefficients in $\mathcal{K}$. If Γ is a group acting linearly over V, then that action extends naturally to the diagonal action on $\mathcal{K}[V]$.

Theorem 8 (D. Hilbert - E. Noether [10, 11])**.** *Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over a field $\mathcal{K}$. If Γ be a finite group acting linearly over V, then the algebra of Γ-invariants $\mathcal{K}[V]^{\Gamma}$ is finitely generated.*

For a modern reference for the previous theorem see (Chap. 14 in [13]).

4. Constructive Proof of Weil's Galois descent theorem

In this section, $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{L}$ will denote a finite Galois extension, $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K})$ its Galois group , e will denote the identity element of Γ and $\mathcal{C}$ an algebraic closure of $\mathcal{L}$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that our variety $X \subset \mathcal{C}^n$ is an affine algebraic variety defined over $\mathcal{L}$, say defined by the polynomials $P_1, ..., P_r \in \mathcal{L}[x_1, ..., x_n]$. We also assume we have an explicit Galois descent datum, say $\{f_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Gamma}$, on X.

Let us recall that there is natural epimorphism $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{K}) \to \Gamma$ defined by restriction. Also, if $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{K})$ are so that $\rho(\eta_1) = \rho(\eta_2) = \sigma$, then $\widehat{\eta_1}(X) = \widehat{\eta_2}(X) = X^{\sigma}$ is the algebraic variety defined by the polynomials $P_1^{\sigma}, ..., P_r^{\sigma} \in \mathcal{L}[x_1, ..., x_n]$, where P_j^{σ} is obtained from P_j by applying σ to its coefficients.

The idea is to construct an explicit birational map $F : X \to Y$, where $Y \subset \mathcal{C}^N$ is some algebraic variety so that $Y^{\sigma} = Y$ for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$. It will now follow from Lemma 7 that Y is defined over $\mathcal{K}$. The map F will be given in terms of a set of invariant polynomial for a suitable permutation action of the group Γ. As F will be explicitly given and defined over $\mathcal{L}$ and X is defined over $\mathcal{L}$, then (with the help of MAGMA [1]) we may write down a finite set explicit polynomials $Q_1, ..., Q_t \in \mathcal{L}[x_1, ..., x_N]$, everything in terms of the previous data, defining Y. Now Lemma 7 tell us how to change these polynomials (using the traces) to assume there already have coefficients in $\mathcal{K}[x_1, ..., x_N]$.

4.1. The starting point. Let us consider the rational map

$$\Phi : X \to \prod_{\sigma\in\Gamma} \mathcal{C}^n : x \mapsto (f_\sigma(x))_{\sigma\in\Gamma}\,.$$

The image $\Phi(X)$ is the affine algebraic variety, defined over $\mathcal{L}$,

$$\Phi(X) = \{(y_\sigma(x))_{\sigma\in\Gamma} : P_1(y_e) = 0, ..., P_r(y_e) = 0, y_\sigma = f_\sigma(y_e),\ \sigma \in \Gamma\}\,.$$

As each f_σ is a birational isomorphism, Φ induces a birational isomorphism between X and $\Phi(X)$. The inverse map is given by projection in the first coordinate, that is, by the restriction to $\Phi(X)$ of $\pi : \prod_{\sigma\in\Gamma}\mathcal{C}^n \to \mathcal{C}^n$, where $\pi\left((y_\sigma(x))_{\sigma\in\Gamma}\right) = y_e$.

Remark 9. Notice that if all birational isomorphisms f_σ are in fact biregular, then Φ produces a biregular isomorphism between X and $\Phi(X)$.

Let us consider the following natural permutation action of Γ on the σ-coordinates:

$$\Theta : \Gamma \times \prod_{\sigma\in\Gamma}\mathcal{C}^n \to \prod_{\sigma\in\Gamma}\mathcal{C}^n$$

$$(\tau, (y_\sigma(x))_{\sigma\in\Gamma}) \mapsto (y_{\tau\sigma}(x))_{\sigma\in\Gamma}$$

Let $\tau \in \Gamma$ and let $\eta \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{K})$ be so that $\rho(\eta) = \tau$. As

$$\Phi^\tau(f_\tau(x)) = (f^\tau_\sigma(f_\tau(x)))_{\sigma\in\Gamma} = (f_{\tau\sigma}(x))_{\sigma\in\Gamma} = \Theta(\tau)(\Phi(x))$$

and, as $f^\tau_\sigma = f^\eta_\sigma = \widehat{\eta}\circ f_\sigma \circ \widehat{\eta}^{-1}$, where $\widehat{\eta}$ acts on $\prod_{\sigma\in\Gamma}\mathcal{C}^n$, we obtain the following equality

$$\Phi(\widehat{\eta}^{-1}(f_\tau(x))) = \left(f_\sigma(\widehat{\eta}^{-1}(f_\tau(x)))\right)_{\sigma\in\Gamma} = \left(\widehat{\eta}^{-1}(f^\tau_\sigma(f_\tau(x)))\right)_{\sigma\in\Gamma} = \left(\widehat{\eta}^{-1}(f_{\tau\sigma}(x))\right)_{\sigma\in\Gamma} = \widehat{\eta}^{-1}(\Theta(\tau)(\Phi(x))).$$

In particular, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "\Phi"] \arrow[d, "f_\tau"] & \Phi(X) \arrow[d, "\Theta(\tau)"] \\
X^\tau \arrow[r, "\Phi^\tau"] \arrow[d, "\widehat{\eta}^{-1}"] & \Theta(\tau)(\Phi(X)) = \Phi^\tau(X^\tau) = \Phi(X)^\tau \arrow[d, "\widehat{\eta}^{-1}"] \\
X \arrow[r, "\Phi"] & \Phi(X)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2}$$

Similarly, it is not difficult to see that, for every $\tau \in \Gamma$ and every $\eta \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{K})$, we have that

$$(*) \quad \Theta(\tau)\circ\widehat{\eta} = \widehat{\eta}\circ\Theta(\tau).$$

Let us consider the following subgroup of Γ:

$$G = \{\tau \in \Gamma : \Theta(\tau)(\Phi(X)) = \Phi(X)\}.$$

Clearly, from the definition, the elements $\tau \in G$ are exactly those for which $\Theta(\tau) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Phi(X))$.

4.2. First case. Let us assume that $G = \{e\}$ (for instance, this is accomplished if $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is trivial).

As $\Theta(\Gamma) \cong \Gamma$ is a finite group of permutations, it follows from Hilbert-Noether's theorem that the algebra $\mathcal{C}[\prod_{\sigma\in\Gamma} \mathcal{C}^n]^{\Gamma}$, of $\Theta(\Gamma)$-invariant polynomials with coefficients in $\mathcal{C}$, is finitely generated.

Let us consider a finite set of generators of such an $\mathcal{C}$-algebra, say

$$E_1((y_\sigma)_{\sigma\in\Gamma}), \ldots, E_N((y_\sigma)_{\sigma\in\Gamma}) \in \mathcal{C}[(y_\sigma)_{\sigma\in\Gamma}]^{\Gamma}.$$

At this point it is important to note that the finite permutation of coordinates produced by the action of $\Theta(\Gamma)$ does not depend on the field $\mathcal{C}$, that is, we may consider this permutation action on the product space $\prod_{\sigma\in\Gamma} \mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is the basic field of $\mathcal{C}$. It follows, in particular, that

$$E_1((y_\sigma)_{\sigma\in\Gamma}), \ldots, E_N((y_\sigma)_{\sigma\in\Gamma}) \in \mathcal{K}[(y_\sigma)_{\sigma\in\Gamma}].$$

For the constructiveness part, we need to have explicitly compute such polynomials E_j; this can be done, for instance, with MAGMA [1].

Let us consider the regular map

$$\Psi : \prod_{\sigma\in\Gamma} \mathcal{C}^n \to \mathcal{C}^N$$

$$(y_\sigma)_{\sigma\in\Gamma} \mapsto (E_1((y_\sigma)_{\sigma\in\Gamma}), \ldots, E_N((y_\sigma)_{\sigma\in\Gamma}))$$

One has that the regular map Ψ satisfies the following properties:

1. $\Psi^{\sigma} = \Psi$, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$;
2. for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$ it holds that $\Psi \circ \Theta(\sigma) = \Psi$;
3. if $\Psi(w) = \Psi(z)$, then there is some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ so that $w = \Theta(\gamma)(z)$; and
4. $Y = \Psi(\Phi(X))$ and $\Psi(\prod_{\sigma} \mathcal{L}^n)$ are algebraic subvarieties of $\mathcal{C}^N$.

Conditions (1) and (2) are easily to check. Condition (3) is a consequence of the results in [7] about reductible groups (see the book of Mumford-Fogarty-Kirwan [12]) and the fact that finite groups are reductibles. Now, Condition (4) follows from the previous ones.

Remark 10. If some of the generators E_j can be expressed in terms of the others (as a rational expression with coefficients in the basic field), then we may delete it in the construction of Ψ without destroying the above properties. But in that case it may happen that the new Ψ is defined only outside some proper algebraic subvariety of $\Phi(X)$. One should take care if proceed with this elimination of invariants.

As $G = \{e\}$, it follows that $\Phi(X)$ is not $\Theta(G)$-invariant for any non-trivial subgroup of Γ. This asserts that there is a non-empty open Zariski subset Ω of $\Phi(X)$ over which Ψ is one-to-one. In fact, our condition ensures that the algebraic subvariety $W_\eta = \Theta(\eta)(\Phi(X)) \cap \Phi(X)$ has positive co-dimension in $\Phi(X)$ for $\eta \in \Gamma - \{e\}$. If $\Gamma^* = \Gamma - \{e\}$ and $W = \cup_{\eta\in\Gamma^*} W_\eta$, then $\Omega = \Phi(X) - W$. We notice that Y is singular only inside $\Psi(W)$.

Conditions (2) and (3) asserts that Ψ is a finite regular (branched) cover with the finite algebraic group $\Theta(\Gamma)$ as its deck group. It follows that $\Psi : \Omega \to \Psi(\Omega)$ is a biregular isomorphism. In this way, we have that $\Psi : \Phi(X) \to Y$ is a regular map which is also a birational isomorphism. In particular, $R = \Psi \circ \Phi : X \to Y$ is a birational isomorphism (which is explicit if we have computed explicitly the generators E_j as above).

Let $\tau \in \Gamma$ and let $\eta \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{K})$ be so that $\rho(\eta) = \tau$. From $(*)$ and (2) it holds that

$$\Psi \circ \widehat{\eta}^{-1} \circ \Theta(\tau) = \Psi \circ \Theta(\tau) \circ \widehat{\eta}^{-1} = \Psi \circ \widehat{\eta}^{-1}.$$

Now one sees that the bijection $\widehat{\eta}^{-1} : \prod_{\sigma\in\Gamma} \mathcal{C}^n \to \prod_{\sigma\in\Gamma} \mathcal{C}^n$ descend to the bijection $\widehat{\eta}^{-1} : \mathcal{C}^N \to \mathcal{C}^N$. It then follows from diagram (2) that $\widehat{\eta}(Y) = Y$, that is, $Y^\tau = Y$. As this holds for every $\tau \in \Gamma$, it follows from Lemma 7 that Y is defined over $\mathcal{K}$.

Remark 11.

1. Since we have constructed an explicit birational isomorphism $R : X \to Y$, then we should be able to construct explicit equations for Y, say given by polynomials $Q_1, ..., Q_m \in \mathcal{L}[t_1, ..., t_N]$. As we already know that Y is defined over $\mathcal{K}$, this should be also defined by some polynomials over $\mathcal{K}$. To obtain these polynomials, we proceed to replace each of them which is not already defined over $\mathcal{K}$, say $F \in \{Q_1, ..., Q_m\}$, by the set of polynomials (which are now defined over $\mathcal{K}$) $\mathrm{Tr}(e_1F)$, $\mathrm{Tr}(e_2F)$,..., $\mathrm{Tr}(e_mF) \in \mathcal{K}[x_1, ..., x_n]$, where $\{e_1, ..., e_m\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{L}$ as $\mathcal{K}$-vector space.
2. Similarly, as $\Psi(W) = \Psi(\cup_{\sigma\in\Gamma}\Theta(W))$, for every $\tau \in \Gamma$, we have that $\widehat{\tau}(\Psi(W)) = \Psi(W)$, in particular, $\Psi(W)$ is also defined over $\mathcal{K}$. This asserts that we may find a non-singular variety defined over $\mathcal{K}$ (by a blowing up process on Y along $\Psi(W)$)) which is also birationally equivalent to X.

4.3. Second case. Let us assume that $G = \Gamma$.

If $\tau \in \Gamma$, then we have the following commutative diagram (where $h_\tau = \Phi^{-1} \circ \Theta(\tau) \circ \Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$)

(3)

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "\Phi"] \arrow[d, "h_\tau"] & \Phi(X) \arrow[d, "\Theta(\tau)"] \\ X \arrow[r, "\Phi"] & \Phi(X) \end{tikzcd}$$

Now, diagram (3), together diagram (2), asserts that, for every $x \in X$, $f_{\tau\sigma}(x) = f_\sigma(y)$, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$, where $y = h_\tau(x)$. By taking $\sigma = e$, we see that $y = f_\tau(x)$ and, in particular that $X^\tau = X$. Now, as this holds for every $\tau \in \Gamma$, by Lemma 7, X is defined over $\mathcal{K}$.

Next, the equality $f_{\tau\sigma}(x) = f_\sigma(y)$ now asserts that $f_{\tau\sigma}(x) = f_\sigma \circ f_\tau(x)$. Since $f_{\tau\sigma} = f_\sigma^\tau \circ f_\tau$, we obtain that $f_\sigma^\tau = f_\sigma$. Again, as this holds for every $\tau \in \Gamma$, it follows that each f_σ is defined over $\mathcal{K}$.

In this case X can be defined over $\mathcal{K}$ by the polynomials $\mathrm{Tr}(e_1P_j)$, $\mathrm{Tr}(e_2P_j)$,..., $\mathrm{Tr}(e_mP_j) \in \mathcal{K}[x_1, ..., x_n]$, for $j = 1, ..., r$, and where $\{e_1, ..., e_m\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{L}$ as $\mathcal{K}$-vector space.

4.4. Third case. Let us now assume $G \neq \Gamma$ and let us write $G = \{e, \tau_1, ..., \tau_m\}$. For each τ_j we may find some $a_j \in \mathcal{L}$ so that $\tau_j(a_j) \neq a_j$. Then we may consider the algebraic variety $\widehat{X} \subset \mathcal{C}^{n+m}$ defined by the polynomials

$$P_1(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0, ...P_r(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0,$$

$$x_{n+1} = a_1, ..., x_{n+m} = a_m.$$

Clearly

$$Q : X \to Y : (x_1, ..., x_n) \mapsto (x_1, ..., x_n, a_1, ..., a_m)$$

defines a birational isomorphism whose inverse is provided by the projection

$$Q^{-1}i : \widehat{X} \to X : (x_1, ..., x_n, a_1, ..., a_m) \to (x_1, ..., x_n).$$

By the construction, we see that $\widehat{X}^{\sigma} \neq \widehat{X}$ for every $\sigma \in \Gamma - \{e\}$. A Weil's datum for $\widehat{X}$ is given by

$$\{g_{\sigma} = Q^{\sigma} \circ f_{\sigma} \circ Q^{-1} : \sigma \in \Gamma\}.$$

Now, we may proceed as in the case that G is trivial, replacing X by $\widehat{X}$ and the corresponding Weil's datum.

Remark 12. In order to see how to adapt the previous constructive proof for the case of Theorem 3 we proceed as follows. We assume $Z \subset C^m$. Everything keeps in the same form, but we consider $\widetilde{\Phi} : Z \to \widetilde{Z} \subset \prod_{\sigma\in\Gamma} C^m$, where $\widetilde{\Phi}(z) = (z, ..., z)$, and we also define $\widetilde{\phi}((x_{\sigma})_{\sigma\in\Gamma}) = (\phi^{\sigma}(x_{\sigma}))_{\sigma\in\Gamma}$. If we set $\eta^* : Y \to \widetilde{Z}$ by the rule $\eta^* \circ \Psi = \widetilde{\phi}$, then we may see that $(\eta^*)^{\sigma} = \eta^*$, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$. This follows from the equalities

1. $\Psi^{\sigma} = \Psi$, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$;
2. $\Psi \circ \Theta(\sigma) = \Psi$, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$;
3. $\tau \circ \widetilde{\phi} = \widetilde{\phi}$, for every permutation τ of the coordinates.

Next, if we set $\pi : \prod_{\sigma\in\Gamma} C^m \to C^m$ defined as $\pi((x_{\sigma})_{\sigma\in\Gamma}) = x_e$, then $\eta = \pi \circ \eta^* : Y \to Z$ is the desired morphism.

5. An Example: A curve of genus 5

Let us consider the classic Humbert's curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$

$$V : \left\{ \begin{array}{rcccccc} v_1^2 & + & v_2^2 & + & v_3^2 & = & 0 \\ -v_1^2 & + & v_2^2 & + & v_4^2 & = & 0 \\ iv_1^2 & + & v_2^2 & + & v_5^2 & = & 0 \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^4_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

The curve V defines a closed Riemann surface of genus 5 admitting the Abelian group $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^4$ as a group of conformal automorphisms, where H is generated by the involutions

$$a_1([v_1 : v_2 : v_3 : v_4 : v_5]) = [-v_1 : v_2 : v_3 : v_4 : v_5]$$
$$a_2([v_1 : v_2 : v_3 : v_4 : v_5]) = [v_1 : -v_2 : v_3 : v_4 : v_5]$$
$$a_3([v_1 : v_2 : v_3 : v_4 : v_5]) = [v_1 : v_2 : -v_3 : v_4 : v_5]$$
$$a_4([v_1 : v_2 : v_3 : v_4 : v_5]) = [v_1 : v_2 : v_3 : -v_4 : v_5]$$

The map

$$\pi : V \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$$
$$[v_1 : v_2 : v_3 : v_4 : v_5] \mapsto -\left(\frac{v_2}{v_1}\right)^2$$

is a regular branched cover with H as its covering group. The ramification values of π are given by the points ∞, 0, 1, -1 and i; each of them with branch order equal to 2.

The group H is unique in the sense that inside $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ (the full group of conformal automorphisms of X) there is a unique subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$ [2]. The uniqueness of H and the fact that the group of Möbius transformations keeping invariant the collection of points $\{\infty, 0, 1, -1, i\}$ is isomorphic to the alternating group $\mathcal{A}_4$, assert that $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)/H \cong \mathcal{A}_4$. Moreover, the orbifold

$V/\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ is the Riemann sphere with exactly 3 cone points of orders 2, 3 and 6, respectively. In this way, X is a quasiplatonic surface and it can be defined over its field of moduli [16].

If $\sigma(z) = \overline{z}$, then $\langle\sigma\rangle = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q})$ and

$$V^{\sigma} = \overline{X} : \left\{ \begin{array}{rcccccc} v_1^2 & + & v_2^2 & + & v_3^2 & = & 0 \\ -v_1^2 & + & v_2^2 & + & v_4^2 & = & 0 \\ -iv_1^2 & + & v_2^2 & + & v_5^2 & = & 0 \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^4_{\mathbb{C}}$$

As

$$f([v_1 : v_2 : v_3 : v_4 : v_5])) = [v_1 : iv_2 : iv_4, iv_3 : iv_5]$$

is a birational isomorphism between V and $\overline{V}$, the field of moduli of V is $\mathbb{Q}$. It follows from the above that V can be defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Next, we proceed to use the constructive ideas in the proof of Weil's Galois descent theorem to obtain a curve $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^4_{\mathbb{C}}$ which is birationally equivalent to V and which is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let us work with the affine curve representation $X \subset \mathbb{C}^4$, obtained by setting $v_1 = 1$, $x_1 = v_2$, $x_2 = v_3$, $x_3 = v_4$ and $x_4 = v_5$:

$$X : \left\{ \begin{array}{rcccccc} 1 & + & x_1^2 & + & x_2^2 & = & 0 \\ -1 & + & x_1^2 & + & x_3^2 & = & 0 \\ i & + & x_1^2 & + & x_4^2 & = & 0 \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^4.$$

Set

$$f : \mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C}^4; \quad f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = i(x_1, x_3, x_2, x_4)$$

and

$$\Phi : X \subset \mathbb{C}^4 \to \Phi(X) \subset \mathbb{C}^8; \ (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto (z, w)$$

where

$$z = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), \quad w = (w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4) = i(x_1, x_3, x_2, x_4).$$

The equations defining $\Phi(X)$ are given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{llll} w_1 - iz_1 = 0, & w_2 - iz_3 = 0 & w_3 - iz_2 = 0, & w_4 - iz_4 = 0 \\ 1 + z_1^2 + z_2^2 = 0, & -1 + z_1^2 + z_3^2 = 0, & i + z_1^2 + z_4^2 = 0 & \end{array} \right\}.$$

In this case, the permutation action $\Theta(\Gamma)$ is given as

$$\Theta(\Gamma) = \left\{ \ \Theta(e)(z, w) = (z, w), \quad \Theta(\sigma)(z, w) = (w, z) \ \right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Notice that $\Phi(X)$ is disjoint from the locus of fixed points of $\Theta(\Gamma)$ and also that $\Phi(X)$ is not invariant under $\Theta(\sigma)$.

The algebra of $\Theta(\Gamma)$-invariant polynomials is generated by the following ones

$$t_1 = z_1 + w_1, t_2 = z_2 + w_2, t_3 = z_3 + w_3, t_4 = z_4 + w_4,$$

$$t_5 = z_1^2 + w_1^2, t_6 = z_2^2 + w_2^2, t_7 = z_3^2 + w_3^2, t_8 = z_4^2 + w_4^2.$$

So, in this case, we may consider the two-to-one map

$$\Psi : \mathbb{C}^8 \to \mathbb{C}^8 : (z, w) \mapsto (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6, t_7, t_8).$$

The image curve $Y = \Psi(\Phi(X))$ is defined by the equations (using MAGMA):

$$t_8 = 0, \quad t_7 = 2, \quad t_6 = -2, \quad t_5 = 0, \quad t_2t_4^2 - 2t_2 - t_3^3 + 4t_3 = 0,$$
$$t_2t_3 - t_4^2 = -2, \quad t_2^2 - t_3^2 = -4, \quad t_1^2 + t_4^2 = 2$$

Let us observe that we may delete some of the variables without loosing the birational equivalence property. More precisely,

$$Y \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{c} t_2^2 - t_3^2 = -4 \\ t_1^2 + t_2 t_3 = 0 \\ t_1^2 + t_4^2 = 2 \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^4.$$

Now, $R = \Psi \circ \Psi : X \to Y$ defines a birational isomorphism whose inverse $R^{-1} : Y \to X$ is defined by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & = & t_1/(1+i) \\ x_2 & = & t_2 - i x_3 \\ x_3 & = & \dfrac{t_2^2 + 2t_1^2/(1+i)^2}{2it_2} \\ x_4 & = & t_4/(1+i) \end{array} \right.$$

The MAGMA code used in the above computations is the following:

```
Q:=Rationals(); a:=PermutationMatrix(Q,[5,6,7,8,1,2,3,4]); G:=MatrixGroup<8,Rationals()|[a]>;
R:=InvariantRing(G); PrimaryInvariants(R); g:=t ^ 2+1; L<s>:=GaloisSplittingField(g);
A<y1,y2,y3,y4,z1,z2,z3,z4>:=AffineSpace(L,8); B<t1,t2,t3,t4,t5,t6,t7,t8>:=AffineSpace(L,8);
r1:=y1+z1; r2:=y2+z2; r3:=y3+z3; r4:=y4+z4; r5:=y1 ^ 2+z1 ^ 2; r6:=y2 ^ 2+z2 ^ 2;
r7:=y3^2+z3^2; r8:=y4^2+z4^2; h:=map<A->B|[r1,r2,r3,r4,r5,r6,r7,r8]>; Y:=Curve(A,[z1-
s*y1,z2-s*y3,z3-s*y2,z4-s*y4,1+y1^2+y2^2,-1+y1^2+y3^2,s+y1^2+y4^2]); h(Y);
```

REFERENCES

[1] J. J. Cannon, W. Bosma and C. Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24** (3-4) (1997), 235-265.

[2] A. Carocca, Victor Gonzalez, R.A. Hidalgo and Rubí Rodríguez. Generalized Humbert Curves. *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **64** No. 1 (2008), 165-192.

[3] C.J. Earle. On the moduli of closed Riemann surfaces with symmetries. *Advances in the Theory of Riemann Surfaces* (1971), 119-130. Ed. L.V. Ahlfors et al. (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton).

[4] C.J. Earle. Diffeomorphisms and automorphisms of compact hyperbolic 2-orbifolds. Geometry of Riemann surfaces, 139Ð155, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* **368**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[5] R.A. Hidalgo. Non-hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces with real field of moduli but not definable over the reals. *Archiv der Mathematik* **93** (2009), 219-222.

[6] R.A. Hidalgo. A computational note about Fricke-Macbeath's curve. Preprint.

[7] Hilbert, D. Über die Theorie der Algebraischen Formen. *Math. Ann.* **36** (1890), 473-534.

[8] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. **53**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.

[9] T.W. Hungerford. *Algebra*. Reprint. (English) Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. **73**. New York, Heidelberg, Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

[10] E. Noether. Der Endlichkeitssatz der Invarianten endlicher Gruppen. *Math. Ann.* **77** (1916), 89-92.

[11] E. Noether. Der Endlichkeitssatz der Invarianten endlicher linear Gruppen der Characteristik p. *Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen* (1926), 28-35.

[12] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty and F. Kirwan. *Geometric Invariant Theory*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)], **34** (3rd ed.), Berlin, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1994.

[13] C. Procesi. *Lie Groups: An approach through invariants and representations*. (Universitex) Springer-Verlag, 2006.

[14] G. Shimura. On the field of rationality for an abelian variety. *Nagoya Math. J.* **45** (1972), 167-178.

[15] A. Weil. The field of definition of a variety. *Amer. J. Math.* **78** (1956), 509-524.

[16] J. Wolfart. *ABC* for polynomials, dessins d'enfants and uniformization—a survey. Elementare und analytische Zahlentheorie, 313–345, Schr. Wiss. Ges. Johann Wolfgang Goethe Univ. Frankfurt am Main, 20, Franz Steiner Verlag Stuttgart, Stuttgart, 2006.

Departamento de Matemática, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile
E-mail address: `ruben.hidalgo@usm.cl`

Departamento de Matemáticas, UAM, Madrid, España
E-mail address: `sebastian.reyes@uam.es`